| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                |
|---|--|-----------------------------|
|   | 10/084,688   | CHOW ET AL.                 |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit                    |
|   | Marianne L. Padgett  | 1762                        |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application: <u>al</u>                                   | lowed                       |
| (1) Marianne L. Padgett.  | (3)  |                             |
| (2) <u>Brian Steinberger</u> .  | (4)  |                             |
| Date of Interview: 2 November 2004  | Time: morning  |                             |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:  | cant's representative)   |                             |
| Part I.   |  |                             |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NA  |  |                             |
| Claims discussed: 28  |  |                             |
| Prior art documents discussed: NA   |  |                             |
| Part II.  |  |                             |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE<br>See Continuation Sheet  | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA   | S DISCUSSED:                |
| Part III.   |  |                             |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | ne examiner will provide a writt<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance |
| MARIANNE PADGETT  |  |                             |
| PRIMARY EXAMINER  |  |                             |
| Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant  | t/Applicant's Representative Si                                    | gnature – if appropriate)   |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 28 was improperly dependant on its self. This typographical error will be corrected by examiner's amendment to change the dependence to claim 27. It was also noted that the proposed amendment associated with the interviews of 8/23-24/2004, had been improperly scanned into the file as an official amendment, with papers mixed with the cover sheet of the entered 7/30/04 after final amendment. The Examiner will have these straightened out.